BEFORE THE POSTAL RATE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268-0001

RECEIVED

Oct 23 4 32 PM 'OI

POSTAL BATE COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SEGRETARY

POSTAL RATE AND FEE CHANGES, 2001

Docket No. R2001-1

RESPONSE OF UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE
TO INTERROGATORIES OF THE ASSOCIATION FOR POSTAL COMMERCE
REDIRECTED FROM WITNESS KINGSLEY
(POSTCOM/USPS-T-39-1(b)-(c), 4, and 10)

The United States Postal Service hereby provides the responses to the following interrogatories of the Association for Postal Commerce redirected from witness Kingsley: POSTCOM/USPS-T-39-1(b)-(c), 4, and 10, filed on October 10, 2001.

Each interrogatory is stated verbatim and is followed by the response.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

By its attorneys:

Daniel J. Foucheaux, Jr. Chief Counsel, Ratemaking

Joseph K. Moore

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20260–1137 (202) 268-3078, fax –5402 October 23, 2001

RESPONSE OF UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE TO INTERROGATORIES OF THE ASSOCIATION FOR POSTAL COMMERCE REDIRECTED FROM WITNESS KINGSLEY

POSTCOM/USPS-T39-1. Please confirm that there is a difference in address quality between automation mail and nonautomation mail. If you do not confirm, please explain.

- (b) Please provide any studies, reports, or analyses addressing address quality issues including, but not limited to, the Undeliverable as Addressed report and Address Quality Study.
- (c) Please provide the underlying data used to produce the studies, reports, and analyses in subpart (b) of this interrogatory and provide documentation of the methodology used by the Postal Service to analyze the data.

Response:

(b) and (c) The most recent Undeliverable as Addressed report and supporting data can be found in Docket No. R2000-1, USPS-LR-I-82.

RESPONSE OF UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE TO INTERROGATORIES OF THE ASSOCIATION FOR POSTAL COMMERCE REDIRECTED FROM WITNESS KINGSLEY

POSTCOM/USPS-T39-4. Please provide the labor rates by level of clerk excluding service wide costs for FY 01 and for the Test Year. Please provide the labor rates by level of clerk, fully loaded with service wide costs, for FY 01 and for the Test Year.

Response:

National average labor rates were computed in February 2001 for use in financial analysis. Estimated rates for FY 2001 are shown below. We understand that these are the best rates available. Estimated rates for the test year (FY 2003) are not available.

	Salary and Fringe Rate	Salary and Fringe plus Service Wide		
PS 04 clerk	\$26.89	\$29.23		
PS 05 clerk (with or without scheme)	\$30.75	\$33.43		
PS 06 clerk	\$32.14	\$34.93		
Casual clerk	\$11.74	\$11.83		

Note that the inputs used to generate these disaggregated estimates do not necessarily correspond to the inputs ultimately employed to develop the aggregate estimates used in this proceeding. These estimates may, however, be appropriate for use in gauging the relative differences between pay levels.

RESPONSE OF UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE TO INTERROGATORIES OF THE ASSOCIATION FOR POSTAL COMMERCE REDIRECTED FROM WITNESS KINGSLEY

POSTCOM/USPS-T-39-10. Please provide the most recent updates or issues of the Corporate Flats Strategy, Strategic Improvement Guide for Flats Processing (Pub.128), Management Instructions for handling Loop Mail (PO-420-1999-1), national Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) for processing flat-shaped mail, joint USPS-Periodicals Industry Operations Review team's March 1999 report, and instructions to the field stating national policies concerning FSM utilization, maximizing automation processing, and the proper staffing for all FSM operations.

Response:

The Corporate Flats Strategy has already been provided as USPS-LR –J-151. The most recent Strategic Improvement Guide for Flats Processing (Sept. 99) and Periodicals Operations Review Team Report (Mar. 99) was supplied in USPS-LR-I-193 as part of Docket No. R2000-1. Management Instruction for the Loop Mail Program (PO-420-1999-1) has been provided as USPS-LR-J-147. The Standard Operating Procedure for flats is part of the Strategic Improvement Guide; nothing separate has been issued.

Instructions to the field regarding utilization and "up the ladder" processing, flats issues, and results are discussed at Area Managers Operations Support bi-weekly telecons and Area Flats Coordinators telecons with Headquarters. The attached spreadsheet is provided to the field each accounting period to support those discussions.

FLATS PERFORMANCE ACHIEVEMENT FY2001 AP 13

NATIONAL TOTAL

		Actual Performance			FY 2001 Target		Performance to Target	
LDC	Function 1	TPH (000)	Hours	Productivity	Productivity		Productivity	
12	AFSM 100	1,369,373	882,688	1,551	3,000	Ì	-1,449	}
12	FSM 1000	371,663	808,806	460	603	1	-143	
12	FSM 881	306,351	660,317	464	574	1	-110	
14	Flats - Manual	351,655	801,399	439	462	1	-23	
- ' '	Total F1 Flats	2,399,043	3,153,210	761	783		-22	
•								
roci	Function 4	Volume (000)	Hours	Productivity	Volume (000)	Hours	Volume (000)	Hours
	Flats - Auto / Mech	11.881	N/A	N/A			Diff SPLY	Diff PLAN
	Flats - Manual Unit & Box	1,647,632	N/A	N/A	vs. SPLY		-401,687	
- ''	Total F4 Flats	1,659,513	N/A	N/A .				
,43,4 4	Total F4 Letters & Flats	7,251,863	7,129,529	1,017	vs. SPLY	vş. PLAN	-687,323	-485,785
Ĭ	Total F1 + F4 Flats	4,058,555						
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
		Up-The-Ladder:	% of Total Work Load		% of Total Work Load		% of Total Work Load	
		Work Load	F1	F1+ F4	F1	F1+ F4	F1	F1+ F4
		AFSM 100	57%	34%	35%	27%	22%	7%
		FSM 1000	15%	9%	14%	10%	1%	-1%
		FSM 881	13%	8%	43%	34%	-30%	-26%
		Manual	15%	9%	8%	6%	7%	3%
		Total Function 1	100%	59%	100%			
		Flats - FSM		0%]	
		Flats - Manual Unit & Box	[[41%		23%	1	18%
		Total F1 + F4		100%	1	100%		
		Up-The-Ladder:	% of Total		% of Total]	% of Total	
		Hours	F1		F1		F1	
		AFSM 100	28%		9%]	19%]
		FSM 1000	26%		18%]	8%]
		FSM 881	21%		59%	1	-38%]
		Manual	25%		14%	1	11%]
		Total Function 1	100%		100%	1		
							1	
		Other	Hours		Hours		Hours	
		Flats - AFSM Mail Prep	403,346			1	Diff PLAN	7
		Total LDC 17	9,550,210		vs. PLAN	1	-177,578	1
						4	1	
		Process Indicators						
		# of Zones on FSM	TBD		8,800	1	Diff Plan	T
		# of Routes on FSM	TBD		137,475	1	Diff Plan	1

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served the foregoing document upon all participants of record in this proceeding in accordance with section 12 of the Rules of Practice.

Joseph K. Moore

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20260–1137 October 23, 2001